





Mineral Exploration Using Natural Source EM

Joel Jansen* and Jamin Cristall



Real Mining. Real People. Real Difference.



OUTLINE

- Last 10 13 years
- Mineral System Context
- Magnetotellurics
- ZTEM
- Four examples
- Topics at Exploration'27
- Acknowledgements



THE LAST 10 YEARS

Integrating the Geosciences: The Challenge of Discovery

- 1. Technology, and the increasing uptake of Natural Source EM methods:
 - MT, ZTEM, and inversion codes
 - Frank Morrison said the same thing 20 years ago

(Morrison, H.F. and E. Nichols, 1997, Mineral Exploration with Natural Electromagnetic Fields, in Proceedings of Exploration 1997.)

- 2. A maturing perspective on Physical Properties:
- 3. The Mineral System Concept:

"[that] focuses mineral exploration strategies on incorporating primary datasets that can map the critical elements of minerals systems at a variety of scales, and particularly the regional to camp scales needed to make exploration decisions"

(McCuaig, T.C. and J.M.A. Hronsky, 2014, The mineral system concept: The key to exploration targeting: Society of Economic Geologists, Special Paper 18, 153-175.)



THE MINERAL SYSTEM CONCEPT: THE KEY TO EXPLORATION TARGETING

1. Four critical elements of a mineral system:

- Ore genesis occurs as a conjunction of whole lithosphere architecture, favorable transient geodynamics, and fertility.
- Post-mineralization preservation of the primary depositional zone is a critical element for ore deposit discovery.



2. Scale dependency:

- These critical elements require translation into features that can be mapped directly in existing or obtainable geoscience datasets at the appropriate scale to generate targets
- Through an understanding of physical properties in the context of the mineral system and the appropriate use of inversion, Natural Field EM is applicable to exploration targeting from Deposit through to Continental scales

| | Fertility | Favorable Geodynamics | Favorable architecture | Primary Depositional Zone |
|-------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Ore-shoot | | | | |
| Deposit | | | Audio MT | |
| Camp | | | AMT / ZTEM | |
| Province | | | Broadband MT / ZTEM | |
| Continental | | | Long Period MT | |

EXPLORATION SEARCH SPACE IS INCREASING \rightarrow **DEEPENING**

The Athabasca Basin (Unconformity-Associated Uranium)



MAGNETOTELLURICS

- *Resistivity* is proportional to the $\frac{telluric}{magneto}$ ratio • ... analogous to *Resistance* = $\frac{voltage}{current}$
- Measurement of the E-field is thus a requirement to infer the geo-electric structure in an absolute sense
- Incremental advances in the past 10 years:
 - ✓ Multi-parameter surveys / distributed arrays
 - ✓ 24-bit electronics
 - ✓ Broadband coils
 - ✓ Capacitive line-antennas
 - ✓ Remote referencing
 - ✓ Inversion: 3D, meshing, joint MT+NFEM
 - ✓ Related fields: CSEM



ZTEM: Z-AXIS TIPPER ELECTOMAGNETICS

- A Natural Field EM system...but it's not "airborne MT"
- Tipper measurements $(\frac{Hz}{Hx} \text{ or } \frac{Hz}{Hy})$ are dimensionless
- These *magnetovariational* soundings therefore say nothing about absolute resistivity.
- Δ Lack of intrinsic resistivity, can't resolve layered geology, limited bandwidth
- + Can collect large swaths of data in rugged and remote areas at low cost, deepest penetration of any airborne system, less affected by ground clearance variations
- Advances in the past 10 years
 ✓ Inversion → no longer interpreting 24 grids



FACTORS THAT INFLUENCE RESISTIVITY WITHIN A PCD

- Porosity, permeability, fluid saturation, pore fluid salinity (TDS)
- Amount and composition of clay minerals (alteration intensity)
- Sulphides (wt% abundance and electrical connectivity)
- Porosity and alteration are often intimately associated with sulphide abundance, so an empirical correlation remains despite not quantifying all the factors



EXAMPLES DISCUSSED IN THE PAPER



GAWLER CRATON, SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Regional MT transect 2D inversion over Olympic Dam



GAWLER CRATON, SOUTH AUSTRALIA

Regional MT transect 2D inversion over Olympic Dam



COLLAHUASI, CHILE

Dipole-dipole resistivity data

- One of the earliest PCD's discovered by directly targeting a 2000 geophysical conductor
- Rosario's high-grade vein system was oknown, but Ujina's supergene enrichment 1000 sblanket covered by 170m of ignimbrite 2000 swasn't
- Ironically, the first drill holes targeted the large, yet offset, barren-pyrite IP anomaly (not shown).



SANTA CECILIA, CHILE

Gold-rich porphyry in the Maricunga belt

- Vertically elongated system, with multiphase quartz-diorite stocks intruding volcanic host rocks
- 2010: shallow CSAMT survey identified a conductive drill target that returned >1000m at 0.25% Cu, 0.2 g/t Au, and 80 ppm Mo.



SANTA CECILIA, CHILE

Gold-rich porphyry in the Maricunga belt

- 2012: larger DCIP/MT "*3D Orion*" survey with 50 portable Distributed Acquisition Units
 - 300 Rx units, 539 Tx sites provided >150,000 DC and IP data points
 - Advantage: "free" NFEM data during time when not transmitting
 - Excellent agreement between the DC and MT data, with near-surface resolution and an indication of the deep-seated resistivity structure, with an inferred relationship to the causative stock(s)



KANSANSHI, ZAMBIA AMT Mapping Geology



Inversion Model Resistivity (ohm-m)

- Recent advances in the joint inversion of ground and airborne data are making Natural Field EM methods an even more powerful tool for resolving complete mineral systems.
- Sasaki et al. (2014): "...inversion of sparse AMT data was shown to be effective in providing a good initial model for ZTEM inversion. Moreover, simultaneously inverting both data sets led to better results than the sequential approach by enabling [the identification of] structural features that were difficult to resolve from the individual data sets."
- Lee's work was recently published:

Lee, B., M. Unsworth, J. Hübert, J. Richards, and J. Legault, 2017, 3-D Joint Z-axis Tipper Electromagnetic and Magnetotelluric Inversion: A case study from the Morrison porphyry Cu-Au-Mo deposit, British Columbia, Canada, Geophysical Prospecting.



- But there are challenges:
 - $_{\odot}$ Coordinate conventions: ENU vs NED vs XYU
 - Data density: 1000's of ZTEM data vs 10's of MT stations
 - $_{\odot}$ Setting optimal error bars
 - \circ Mesh generation
 - o Galvanic distortion
 - $_{\odot}$ MT/ZTEM model reconciliation



NEXT 10 YEARS...

- In airborne systems:
 - Total field systems (like AirMT)
 - 3-component systems (MobileMT)
 - $_{\circ}~$ Reductions in the low frequency limit
- In ground systems:
 - Combined acquisition methods of E only, H only, and E+H (± Tippers)
 - $_{\circ}~$ Improved capacitive antennas and broadband coils
 - Drones to position coils in magnetovariational surveys
 - More large-scale surveys: USArray, AusLAMP, EON-ROSE, probably including airborne magnetovariational data
 - $_{\odot}~$ Better incorporation of hard and soft constraints in inversions
 - Hopefully... better understanding of the geophysical expression of mineral systems so we can answer why the lower crust exhibits seawater conductivity?



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

COMPANIES

- Anglo American plc
- Cameco Corporation and AREVA Resources Canada Inc.
- CODELCO
- First Quantum Minerals Limited
- Geotech Ltd
- Vale Canada Limited

INDIVIDUALS

- Yann Avram
- Brian Bengert
- Lief Cox
- Shane Evans
- David Goldak
- Bernhard Friedrichs
- Graham Heinson
- Alan Jones
- Alan King
- Benjamin Lee
- Jean Legault
- Frank Morrison
- Ransom Reddig
- Naser Meqbel
- Geoffrey Plastow
- Terry Ritchie
- Martyn Unsworth
- Ken Witherly
- Chris Wijns
- Garnet Wood



THANK YOU