# Geomechanical Issues Affecting Long-term Storage of CO<sub>2</sub>

Mark D. Zoback Benjamin M. Page Professor of Geophysics, *Emeritus* Co-director, Stanford Center on Induced and Triggered Seismicity Co-director, Stanford Center on Carbon Storage

> Eminar Series 2 April 27, 2022



# Stanford | Stanford Center for

SCHOOL OF EARTH, ENERGY Stanford Center for & ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES Carbon Storage



Stanford | Stanford Center for Induced and Triggered Seismicity

School of Earth, Energy & Environmental Sciences

# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date

# Massive Scale CCS?

- Achieving the International Energy Agency's (IEA) Sustainable Development Scenario will require 6 Gt scCO<sub>2</sub> per year to be stored by 2050. Volumetrically equivalent to 150% of current global oil production.
- The CCS industry is expected to reach 1 Gt scCO<sub>2</sub> per year by 2030.
- Today's carbon sequestration industry must grow by 50 times. ~20 Mt per year of anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> is currently being injected in 46 projects to reach 2030 targets.
- It is estimated that about \$1 trillion of investment will be needed to support this growth, necessitating investment from capital providers across the entire development pipeline (capture -> transport -> storage).
- "Reaching net zero will be virtually impossible with CCUS" IEA, September 2020

# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date

# Volumetric Assessments of Saline Aquifer Storage (Theoretically Available Pore Space)



Saline formations are layers of sedimentary porous and permeable rocks saturated with salty water called brine. These formations are fairly widespread in both onshore and offshore sedimentary basins throughout North America and have potential for CO<sub>2</sub> storage. Saline formation trapping mechanisms include solubility trapping, mineral trapping, structural trapping, and residual trapping (see page 24 for more information). It is important that a regionally extensive confining zone (often referred to as caprock or seal) overlies the

Saline formations represent an enormous potential for CO<sub>2</sub> storage, and recent project results suggest that they can be used as reliable, long-term storage sites. Saline formation storage lacks the economic incentives of oil and natural gas reservoirs or unmineable coal storage; however, they could serve as buffer

While assessment continues, DOE has documented approximately 2,379 billion metric tons to more than 21,633 billion metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub> storage resource in saline formations. For details on saline formation CO<sub>2</sub> storage resource by State/Province, see Appendix C. For more information on the methodologies used to

#### CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Resource Estimates for Saline Formations by RCSP\*

RCSP	Low	Medium	High
	Billion Metric Tons	Billion Metric Tons	Billion Metric Tons
BSCSP	211	805	2,152
MGSC	41	163	421
MRCSP	108	122	143
PCOR	305	583	1,012
SECARB	1,376	5,257	14,089
SWP	256	1,000	2,693
WESTCARB	82	398	1,124
Total	2,379	8,328	21,633

2015

ent as of November 2014: Medium = p

#### Mid-range est. 8328 GT tonnes

# Realistically Assessing Capacity

**Global Storage Resource Classification** Using SPE Storage Resources Management System (SRMS)



Illustrative Sequestration Resource Volume Volumetric Estimate vs. Realistic Estimate





# **Basal Saline Aquifers**

#### Basal Cambrian Sandstone, Great Plains of the U.S. and Canada

- The aquifer with largest estimated resources in the area
- Volumetric approach: 223 721 Gt resources
- Storage formation for Quest and Aquistore projects

Teletsky et al. (2019) argue that from a flow modeling perspective, volumetric estimates are ~10 x too high



# Basal Saline Aquifers

OGCI assessment of the Great Plains Basal Cambrian Sandstone storage resource

- Flow modeling: ~3 Gt of capacity based on injection from 16 major sources in the area at ~100 MTPA
- Large gap between volumetric and capacity assessments

\* EERC report 2015-EERC-02-14



Is Injection into Basal Formations Viable? Triggered Earthquakes in Oklahoma



# Produced Water Disposal is Triggering Earthquakes



- Massive quantities of produced saltwater (from formations like the Mississippi Lime) was being injected into the basal Arbuckle group.
- About 3 billion barrels were injected in north-central Oklahoma (AOI) over a few years.
- Earthquakes occurring on pre-existing critically-stressed faults <u>in basement</u> due to small increases in pore pressure in the Arbuckle Group
- Potentially active faults are likely to be permeable and extend from the crystalline basement up into the Arbuckle.



Langenbruch and Zoback (2016)

# Produced Water Disposal is Triggering Earthquakes





Langenbruch, Weingarten and Zoback (2018)

### Using the Seismogenic Index Model to Predict How the Rate of Produced Water Disposal Controls the Rate of Earthquake Triggering



Updating Langenbruch, Weingarten and Zoback (2018)



35°

30°

#### • Earthquakes Occur in Basement Rocks Nearly Everywhere in Intraplate Areas

• The Occurrence of Reservoir-Induced Seismicity Indicates that Very Small Pore Pressure Perturbations are Capable of Triggering Seismicity, Even in "Stable Areas"

### The Critically-Stressed Crust



# A Lithosphere in Failure Equilibrium



Zoback et al. (2002)

Brittle Failure in Critically-Stressed Crust Creep in Lower Crust and Upper Mantle Stress Measurements Confirm Critically-Stressed Crust (and the Applicability of Coulomb Faulting Theory)





These principles are applicable to brittle sedimentary formations

# Earthquake triggering and large-scale geologic storage of carbon dioxide

#### Mark D. Zoback<sup>a,1</sup> and Steven M. Gorelick<sup>b</sup>

**PNAS** 

Departments of <sup>a</sup>Geophysics and <sup>b</sup>Environmental Earth System Science, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305

Edited by Pamela A. Matson, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, and approved May 4, 2012 (received for review March 27, 2012)

Despite its enormous cost, large-scale carbon capture and storage (CCS) is considered a viable strategy for significantly reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with coal-based electrical power generation and other industrial sources of CO<sub>2</sub> [Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (2005) IPCC Special Report on Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage. Prepared by Working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds Metz B, et al. (Cambridge Univ Press, Cambridge, UK); Szulczewski ML, et al. (2012) *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 109:5185–5189]. We argue here that there is a high probability that earthquakes will be triggered by injection of large volumes of CO<sub>2</sub> into the brittle rocks commonly found in continental interiors. Because even small- to moderate-sized earthquakes threaten the seal integrity of CO<sub>2</sub> repositories, in this context, large-scale CCS is a risky, and likely unsuccessful, strategy for significantly reducing greenhouse gas emissions.

Earthquake Magnitude Depends on Whether Injection Increases Potentially Activate Basement Faults



Faulting on Basement Faults is Occurring in Response to Injection in Overlaying Sedimentary Formations

#### Shallow (Strata-bound) vs Basement-Rooted Faults





#### Modeling Basin- and Plume-Scale Processes of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage for Full-Scale Deployment

by Quanlin Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Jens T. Birkholzer<sup>1</sup>, Edward Mehnert<sup>2</sup>, Yu-Feng Lin<sup>3</sup>, and Keni Zhang<sup>1</sup> (2010)



#### Modeling Basin- and Plume-Scale Processes of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage for Full-Scale Deployment

by Quanlin Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Jens T. Birkholzer<sup>1</sup>, Edward Mehnert<sup>2</sup>, Yu-Feng Lin<sup>3</sup>, and Keni Zhang<sup>1</sup> (2010)



#### Modeling Basin- and Plume-Scale Processes of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage for Full-Scale Deployment

by Quanlin Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Jens T. Birkholzer<sup>1</sup>, Edward Mehnert<sup>2</sup>, Yu-Feng Lin<sup>3</sup>, and Keni Zhang<sup>1</sup> (2010)

# CO<sub>2</sub> Injection Into the Mt. Simon Sandstone At Decatur, Illinois



Goertz-Allman et al. (2017, JGR)

- Injection of 1 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub> over a 3-year period into the Mt. Simon (8 million barrels, 1.3 million m<sup>3</sup>)
- Small earthquakes define faults in Precambrian basement
- Pressure change less than 1 MPa





### New Injection Zone is Still in the Mt. Simon (Above a Mudstone *Baffle*) Seismicity is Continuing (at a Lower Rate) on the Same Basement Faults



Williams-Stroud et al. (BSSA, 2020)

# Basal Saline Aquifers

#### Example

- <u>Basal Cambrian Sandstone</u>, Great Plains
- The aquifer with largest estimated resources in the area
- Storage formation for Quest and Aquistore projects

Is it Feasible to Consider Large-Scale CO<sub>2</sub> Storage in Basal Saline Aquifers?



#### Shallow (Strata-bound) vs Basement-Rooted Faults



# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date

# Can We Avoid Injection into Potentially Active Faults?



Yes, if we Know the Key Parameters – State of Stress, Fault Orientations and Pore Pressure Perturbation

Probabilistic assessment of potential fault slip related to injectioninduced earthquakes: Application to north-central Oklahoma, USA

F. Rall Walsh, III, and Mark D. Zoback Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, 397 Panama Mall, Stanford, California 94305, USA

GEOLOGY

Data Repository item 2016334 | doi:10.1130/G38275.1

<sup>L</sup>© 2016 Geological Society of America. For permission to copy, contact editing@geosociety.org.



#### Anderson Faulting Theory Revisited



### **Permian Basin**



Fig. 7.3

Lund Snee and Zoback (2017)

# Can We Avoid Injection into Potentially Active Faults?



Yes, But We Need to Incorporate the Uncertainties of Key Parameters – State of Stress, Fault Orientations and Pore Pressure Perturbation

Probabilistic assessment of potential fault slip related to injectioninduced earthquakes: Application to north-central Oklahoma, USA

F. Rall Walsh, III, and Mark D. Zoback Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, 397 Panama Mall, Stanford, California 94305, USA

GEOLOGY

Data Repository item 2016334 | doi:10.1130/G38275.1

<sup>L</sup>© 2016 Geological Society of America. For permission to copy, contact editing@geosociety.org.



- Detailed Mapping of Stress Orientation and Relative Magnitudes
  - Wellbore Observations
  - Earthquake FM Inversions
  - Slowly Varying Relative Stress Magnitudes
- Utilize Information About Pre-Existing Faults (Darold and Holland, 2015)
- Combine Data to Identify
  Potentially Active Faults
  Knowing the Maximum
  Change in Pore Pressure

Free, Online Software uses QRA to Assess Fault Slip Potential (URL SCITS.stanford.edu)





Estimating Uncertainty in Key Parameters (More Complicated than it Seems)





### Fault Slip Probability (2 MPa Max Pressure Change)



# Identification of Faults That are Not Likely to be Problematic is Important Too!



Walsh and Zoback (2016)

Does FSP Work? In Retrospect, Every Significant Eq in OK Can be Explained by Coulomb Faulting Theory



#### Application to the Fort Worth Basin



Hennings et al. (2019)



Hennings et al. (2019)

# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date



https://doi.org/10.1130/G49015.1

Manuscript received 22 February 2021 Revised manuscript received 7 April 2021 Manuscript accepted 18 April 2021

© 2021 The Authors. Gold Open Access: This paper is published under the terms of the CC-BY license.

# Prior oil and gas production can limit the occurrence of injection-induced seismicity: A case study in the Delaware Basin of western Texas and southeastern New Mexico, USA

Noam Z. Dvory and Mark D. Zoback Department of Geophysics, Stanford University, 397 Panama Mall, Stanford, California 94305, USA In the Seismically Active Area the Delaware Mountain Group and Bone Spring are Saline Aquifers



State of Stress in the Permian Basin Does FSP Work? Every Significant Eq in The Delaware Basin Can be Explained by Coulomb Faulting Theory



Stress data from Lund Snee and Zoback (2021)

Fault mapping from Hennings et al. (2021)

In the Seismically Active Area the Delaware Mountain Group and Bone Spring are Saline Aquifers



Fault mapping from Hennings et al. (2021)

#### Pore Pressure is Hydrostatic and Normal Faults are in a State of Frictional Equilibrium



Dvory and Zoback (2021)

Small Pressure Changes Induce Seismicity in the Delaware Mountain Group



Ge, Nicot et al. (2022)

# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date

Shallow Seismicity in DMG Induced by Very Small Pressure Changes

#### No Shallow Seismicity Where There Has Been Previous DMG Production





#### P. Hennings, pers. comm.

Dvory and Zoback (2021)

#### No Earthquakes are <u>Not</u> Being Triggered Where There Has Been Past Production

Poroelastic Stress Path Associated with Depletion Makes Normal Faults More Stable



# Deformation Analysis in Reservoir Space (DARS)

- To understand the deformation mechanisms of a producing reservoir utilizing relatively simple laboratory tests and in situ measurements
- DARS is a formalism for estimating the evolution of porosity (and permeability) and the potential for induced normal faulting in a producing reservoir





# DARS Applied to a GOM Offshore Field



Zoback (2007)

# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date

# Weak Sands of the Gulf of Mexico



The Good News:

- Weakly-Cemented Sands are Not Likely to Produce Earthquakes
- Both Depleted Reservoirs and Saline Aquifers are Relatively Well Characterized

# Weak Sands of the Gulf of Mexico



Requires Further Study:

• How Has Production Has Affected Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs?

### Depletion and Stress Path in Reservoir Space



# Compaction and Permeability Loss in Weak GOM Sands



### Depletion and Stress Path in Reservoir Space



# Topics – Massive Scale CCS from a Geomechanical Perspective

- The Need for Massive Scale for Carbon Storage
- Saline Aquifers
  - Basal Aquifers and the Critically-Stressed Crust
    - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in Oklahoma
- Can we Identify Potentially Active Faults Prior to Injection?
- Saline Aquifers
  - Lessons from Induced Seismicity in the Delaware Basin
- Depleted Oil and Gas Reservoirs
  - How Poroelastic Stress Changes Limit Induced Seismicity
  - How Past Production has Affected Potential CCS Reservoirs
- Progress to Date

# Global CCS Projects 2020



# Global CCS Projects 2020



If all these new planned projects go forward, the total injection capacity would increase by 175 MtCO<sub>2</sub>/yr

### Global Carbon Capture Capacity GtCO<sub>2</sub> per year



<u>70-100 new</u> projects must be <u>commissioned</u> <u>annually</u> to achieve the necessary rate of growth<sup>,</sup>.

There is a large gap (0.6 GtCO<sub>2</sub> per year) between industry targets and the capacity of CCS projects currently being planned.



# Thank you

